## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/853,428	BRAINARD, GEORGE	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Roy D. Gibson	3739	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
THE REPLY FILED 30 July 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION I	IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same of application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with application) for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.</li> </ol>	an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the peal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request	
periods:  a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the	final raigotion	
b) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECKED OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	on, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In (MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and tunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened state forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three nay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee atutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as	
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension there Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the tim AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	of (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration		
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a correspond NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	ing number of finally rejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See atta 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	ached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if s non-allowable claim(s).	ubmitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the	
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)  will not how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>31,34,35,37-41 and 44.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was n	<u>Il</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a	
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the sta REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	tus of the claims after entry is below or attached.	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT	Fplace the application in condition for allowance because:	
<ul> <li>12.  Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>13.  Other:</li> </ul>	8) Paper No(s)	
/Ro	by D. Gibson/	
Pri	mary Examiner : Unit: 3739	

Continuation of 13. Other: For the record the examiner has presented below a brief summary of the actions taken by him and the applicant's representive regarding the amendments after final and telephone interviews with the aim of placing the application in Condition for Allowance.

First Amendment after final.filed on 6/30/2009 (within two months of the Final rejection):.

The examiner initiated a telephone interview with Patricia Wenger on about 7/15/2009 to discuus the claims, in particular independent claim 31. The examiner pointed out that addition of "in the regulation of circadian physiology" would be appropriate to overcome the Seafoss et al. reference.

On 7/24/2009 Ms. Wenger filed an amendment After further review the examiner telephoned Ms. Wenger again on or about 7/26/2009 with the new concern that this amendment was not completely consistant with the recitation in the last four lines of claim 31, namely "stimulating the photoreceptor system for at least one of the circadian, photoneural, neuroendocrine or neurobehavorial systems". On 7/30/2009 Ms. Wenger filed an amendment to correct the issues discussed in the interview of 7/26/2009 which seemed to be acceptable to the examiner. However, after further consideration of the support for all the language of the independent claim 31, it was noted by the examiner that the word "neurobehavorial" did not appear in the Specification and, therefore, was not an appropriate antecedent as required by MPEP 608.01 (o).

The examier telephoned Ms. Wenger on or about August 5 to discuss this issue. The examiner suggested that this be deleted from the claim in order to correct this issue or for the applicant to provide an explanation of support from the disclosure..

In summary the amendments filed on 6/30 and 7/24/09 have not been entered into record, but the claim amendments filed on 7/30/2009 will be entered if the approval from Ms. Wenger corrects this last issue.

.